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## Motivations

```
int main() {
    Decimal64 a = 0.1D;
    double b = 10.25;
    _Decimal64 c = -1.025D;
    double d;
    d = a * b + c;
    return 0;
}
``` universite
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\section*{What we would like to get:}

Something close to \(d=0.0\)

\section*{What we actually get:}
- Nothing!
- Compilation with gcc 5.4 yields:
error: can't mix operands of decimal float and other float types

\section*{Let's force it:}
- the result is \(d=0 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{p}-52 \approx 2.2204 \cdot 10^{-16}\)
- as a reminder, the smallest subnormal number is \(0 \mathrm{x} 1 \mathrm{p}-1074 \approx 4.9407 \cdot 10^{-324}\)

\section*{IEEE 754-2008 - FP formats}

Binary format
\((-1)^{s} \cdot 2^{E} \cdot m\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline S & E & m \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{ bit \(W_{E}\) bits } & \\
\(\longleftrightarrow\)
\end{tabular}

Example, binary64 format:
- significand: \(2^{52} \leq m \leq 2^{53}-1\)
- exponent: \(-1074 \leq E \leq 971\) (with subnormals)

Decimal format
\((-1)^{s} \cdot 10^{F} \cdot n\)
\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|}
\hline S & F & n \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
bit \\
\(\longleftrightarrow\) \\
\(w+5\) bits
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Example, decimal64 format:
- significand: \(1 \leq n \leq 10^{16}-1\)
- exponent: \(-398 \leq F \leq 369\)
- binary BID encoding
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\section*{Goal: mixed-radix operations}

Enrich the IEEE 754-2008 standard with heterogenous operations in base 2 and 10.
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\section*{Goal: mixed-radix FMA}
- an emerging need for mixed-radix arithmetic
- implementation of all basic arithmetic operations with one slightly more precise FMA
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Correct Rounding in the easy case

- enough accuracy

Correct Rounding in the hard case

- not enough accuracy, but how much?

\section*{Classical Binary FMA}

\section*{far-path addition}
- when \(\frac{a \times b}{c} \notin\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]\)
```

Algorithm 1 Binary FMA $d=\circ(a \times b+c)$
1: if $\frac{a \times b}{c} \notin\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$ then
2: $\quad \mathrm{d}=$ farpath_addition $(a \times b, c)$
else
4: $\quad \mathrm{d}=$ nearpath_subtraction $(a \times b, c)$
5: end if

```
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Mixed-radix addition almost always inexact.
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\section*{Bound on the worst case of cancellation}
- occurs when \((a \times b)-c\) is relatively small
- if \(a \times b=2^{L} \cdot 5^{M} \cdot s\) and \(c=2^{N} \cdot 5^{P} \cdot t\)
\[
\left|\frac{S}{t}-2^{N-L} \cdot 5^{P-M}\right| \geq \eta=2^{-177.61}
\]
- computed using one sided approximations

\section*{Performances issues of this exact addition}

\section*{Size of the accumulator}
- acutal computation \(\alpha=(a \times b)+c-f\)
- \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\) and c inputs of the FMA, \((a \times b)\) the exact multiplication bounded into the internal mixed-radix format
- f the closest midpoint bounded into the internal mixed-radix format
- We are sure that we can compute \(\alpha\) and store it on 4225 bits, that is 67 words of 64 bits, leaving 63 "free" bits.
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\section*{Obervation}

In a lot of cases, a quick and not so accurate addition can be enough to perform correct rounding in the output format.

\section*{FMA Mixed Radix Algorithm}
```

Algorithm 2 Mixed-Radix FMA $d=\circ(a \times b+c)$
Multiplication $\psi \leftarrow a \times b$
if it is an "addition" or $\frac{\psi}{c} \notin\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 2\right]$ then
$\phi \leftarrow$ "far-path" binary addition
else
$\phi \leftarrow$ "near-path" binary subtraction
end if
$\rho \leftarrow$ Conversion of $\phi$ to the output format
if $\rho$ can round correctly then
return $d \leftarrow \rho$ correctly rounded to output format
else
Compute integer rounding boundary significand $f$
$\alpha \leftarrow$ Exact decimal addition
Correct $\rho$ using $f$ and the sign of $\alpha$
return $d \leftarrow \rho$ correctly rounded to output format
end if

```
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\section*{GNU Multiple Precision Library (GMP)}
- mixed-radix FMA designed in a limited timeframe
- using GMP rational numbers
- Goal: reasonabely fast but easy to design

\section*{Sollya}
- exact representation of numerical expressions
- evaluated at any precision without spurious rounding

\section*{Performance Testing}


Our implementation


GMP reference implementation

\section*{Conclusion and Perspectives}

\section*{Correctly Rounded Mixed-Radix FMA}
- two formats: binary64 and decimal64
- pen and paper proof of the algorithm
- overcoming the TDM and worst case of cancellation in the mixed-radix case
- implementation faster than expected and extensively tested

\section*{Going further}
- more formats!
- mixed-radix FMA of heterogenous precision

\title{
Thank you! Questions?
}```

